


The Demand For US Leadership Outpaces Resentment Against It - Pavel K. Baev



President

Joe Biden's long-awaited announcement of decision to run for the second presidential term has brought into a sharper focus the new quality of US leadership in global affairs, which he has delivered, perhaps without any grand strategic design. The 2024 US elections will be run and determined primarily by competing domestic agendas, as is usually the case, but the coincidence of Biden's announcement with the state visit to USA of South Korea's President Yoon Suk Yeol points to a greater prominence of foreign policy matters than was

the case in his previous contestation with President Donald Trump in 2020. For the Korean guest, as for the majority of world leaders, with the obvious exception of President Vladimir Putin, Biden's second presidency is not just an entirely agreeable, but perhaps even the best possible prospect. This is not only because the known quality is typically preferable to an uncertain transition, but primarily because Biden has consistently outperformed their expectations.

Public

opinion in the world may be less kind to Biden, but he still remains in the positive territory, with 41 % approval and 33% disapproval ratings, according to a [recent Gallup poll](#). It is certainly the Russian aggression against Ukraine that has set a crucial rest for Biden's leadership, and as the war hangs in a precarious balance after 14 months of hard fighting, the [provisional conclusion](#) that he has delivered what was necessary is beyond doubt. The US-led Ukraine Defense Contact Group, which coordinates the supplies of military aid to the Ukrainian army, held its eleventh meeting in the [Ramstein format](#) in the mid-April, and the [NATO summit](#) in Vilnius, Lithuania in mid-July is expected to demonstrate yet again the unwavering commitment of Biden's administration to ensuring Ukraine's victory, even if its key words "[as long as it takes](#)" may be questioned by sceptics of various persuasions.

What

is a clear-cut and rigidly-structured confrontation in Europe, is at the same time a far more complex and fluid competitive interplay in East Asia, where multiple new tests for Biden's leadership are shaping up. Political interactions in this vast region are well-developed, but cannot qualify as a "security architecture", and the workings of ASEAN and its Regional Forum, which in 2023 are overseen by [Indonesia](#),

have traditionally proceeded by downplaying disagreements and bracketing conflicts out, rather than by addressing them. East Asian states are acutely aware of China's geopolitical ambitions and expanding capabilities for projecting power, which stimulates their interest in cultivating ties with USA. At the same time, they are wary of the risks emanating from the maturing US-China rivalry and seek to protect their commercial ties with the neighboring economic giant from the damage of "[decoupling](#)".

This ambivalence makes the task of alliance management more delicate and complicated for the US than charting a straight course to confronting China as the multiplying [flock of "hawks"](#) in Washington D.C. advocates.

President

Biden has managed to navigate these troubled waters without disappointing US friends

and turning various fence-sitters into foes. The war in Ukraine demands his priority attention, but he has never lost sight of the stormy clouds around Taiwan, even if [serious](#)

[delays](#) in deliveries of paid-for US arms

have occurred. When French President Emmanuel Macron returning from the state visit

to Beijing made some ill-considered remarks about unnecessary risks for Europe related

to "[taking](#)

[cue from US](#)" in the Taiwan crisis,

Biden refrained from any reprimands and merely let his traditionally self-aggrandizing

ally to [weather](#)

[the storm](#) of European and domestic criticism.

This

considerate patience is a defining feature of Biden's style of leadership, perhaps influenced by reflections on [many](#)

[gaffes](#) he himself has committed during his

long political career. Leading with patience, he has not pressed Japan to

increase its [defense budget](#), but is very supportive to the plans for investing in modernizing the capabilities of Japanese Self-Defense Forces. He has refrained from any interference in the deal on exporting South Korean [tanks and howitzers](#) to Poland, but grants US support to the remarkable surge in modernization of defense industry in South Korea. The Philippines felt no pressure from the USA regarding the access to [new bases](#), but are eager to engage in enhanced military cooperation, despite the [deep-seated reservations](#).

Joe

Biden is not striving to get his name attached to a US security doctrine, in the tradition of Harry Truman and Ronald Reagan. He didn't expect his hard-won term in the high office to be a war-time presidency, but he has risen to the extra-tough challenge and delivered the leadership necessary for uniting the West shocked by the crude Russian aggression. He is also very aware of the resentment that the re-energized and determined West generates in many quarters of the hugely diverse and naturally disunited Global South. Russian and Chinese narratives on countering the alleged US "hegemonism" make poor fit with Biden's policy of closer engagement with allies and respect of differences with many emerging powers, from India and Indonesia to Brazil and Mexico. Irrespective of his success or the lack of thereof in the election race, he has proven that the US leadership can be patient and positive, rather than arrogant and egoistic, and deserves credit for this.



Pavel K. Baev, Dr., Peace Research Institute, Oslo (PRIO)

Dr. Pavel K. Baev is a Research Professor at the Peace Research Institute, Oslo (PRIO). He is also Senior Non-Resident Fellow at the Center for the U.S. and Europe at the Brookings Institution (Washington D.C.), Senior Associate Researcher at the Institut Français des Relations Internationales (IFRI, Paris), and Senior Associate Research Fellow at the Italian Institute for International Political Studies (ISPI, Milan). His research interests include the transformation of the Russian military, the energy and security dimensions of the Russian-European relations, Russia's Arctic policy, Russia-China partnership, post-Soviet conflict management in the Caucasus and the Caspian Basin, and Russia's Middle East policy, which is supported by the Norwegian Foreign Ministry. He writes a weekly column in [Eurasia Daily Monitor](#).

To cite this work: Pavel K. Baev, "The Demand For US Leadership Outpaces Resentment Against It", *Panorama*, Online, 04 May 2023, <https://www.uikpanorama.com/blog/2023/05/04/pb-4/>

Copyright@UIKPanorama. All on-line and print rights reserved. Opinions

expressed in works published by the *Panorama* belongs to the authors alone unless otherwise stated, and do not imply endorsement by the IRCT, Global Academy, or the Editors/Editorial Board of Panorama.